



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,935	04/21/1999	POLLY STECYK	240/103	7765

34313 7590 12/27/2005

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT
4 PARK PLAZA
SUITE 1600
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558

EXAMINER

SHANG, ANNAN Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p>09/295,935</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>STECYK ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p>Annan Q. Shang</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p>2617</p>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 10/03/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-46 under Ellis et al (6,732,367) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of **Casement et al (5,969,748)**. This office action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Casement et al (5,969,748)**.

As to claims 1-12, note the **Casement** reference figs.1-2, discloses television schedule system with access control and further disclose a method of supervising personal exposure to a consumer electronics device (User television Equipment 'User-TVE' 32) having a V-chip, the method comprising:

receiving program signal (Receiving Locations 'RL' 16-22 receives data-streams via Distribution Center 'DC' 10, figs.1 and col.2, lines 56-67) suitable for conversion by the consumer electronics device (RL 16-22) into user discernible information; receiving a content-based indicator (Rating, col.3, lines 33-43) indicative of the content of the user discernible information and timing information (Interactive TV Schedule guide 'IPG' includes timing information and rating or subject matter category, col.3, lines 13-21 and lines 33-43) indicative of the reference time; note that RL 16-22 includes STB 38, VCR 32/36, TV 30/34, etc., (col.2, line 64-col.3, line 13) which receives the TV programs and rating information transmitted from DC-10 or Service Provider 40 via link 28, satellite, telephone, cable or any suitable communications path and stores or processes data on the fly;

selecting a content-based specification (User via Remote Control 'RC', to select desired Rating, col.3, line 44-col.4, line 24) and a first finite time range specification (user specified time period(s), lock/unlock by time, etc., figs.2B-2E col.4, line 2-col.5, line 17) associated with the selected content-based specification (channel, program title, etc.), RC 40 is used to perform the necessary user interaction to the IPG, such as locking/unlocking, blocking/unblocking or disabling/enabling subject matter category or objectionable material or adult programs and selecting specific time period(s);

comparing (RL 16-22 which inherently includes a Microprocessor 'MC', fig.3-4, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+) the finite time range with the reference time (the 24 hour period within the day or the current time); allowing user review of the user discernible information without user input or comparison of received

content-based indicator (Rating) with a content-based specification (User selected Rating) if the reference time is outside the first finite time range specification (note that the parent can block/unblock, lock/unlock or disable/ enable, etc, programs as desired and MC generates blocking signal to block programs within a restricted time period(s), MC further automatically make available to the parent all programs after the restricted time period;

comparing (MC) the selected content-based specification with the received content based indicator when the reference time falls within the first finite time range specification and impairing (MC) the program signal if the received content-based indicator exceeds the content-based specification (fig.3-4, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+), note also the control program in MC identifies the programs and the selected rating data in the program listings, using keyword with the text of the program listings, and compares the subject matter categories and if it matches the selected subject matter category, blocks the subject matter category or the program(s) accordingly within the restricted time period(s) and generates timing information to restrict subject matter category or the program(s) within a selected time period(s).

As to claim 13, the claimed "a method of supervising the exposure to a consumer electronics device having a V-chip...comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements of rejected claim 1.

As to claim 14, Casement further discloses where the program signal, is impaired by scrambling the program signal (fig.3-4, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+).

Claim 15 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

As to claim 16, Casement further discloses where the one or more selected time range specifications repeat for each day of a workweek (col.4, line 61-col.5, line 5 and col.6, lines 48-61).

Claims 17 and 18 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

Claims 19-24 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

As to claim 25, the claimed "a consumer electronics device having a V-chip... comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements of rejected claim 19.

As to claim 26, Casement further discloses TV 30/34 "an output device coupled to the signal impairment mechanism of VCR 32/36, STB 38 for transferring the program signal into the user discernible information (fig.1).

Claims 27-29 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

As to claim 30, the claimed "a switch..." is met by MC of RL 16-22.

Claim 31 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 26.

Claim 32 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 27-28

Claim 33 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1

Claims 34-36 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

Claims 37-39 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claims 40-41 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claims 42-46 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hancock et al (6,701,523) disclose V-chip plus-guide user interface apparatus and method.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC)** at **866-217-9197 (toll-free)**.

Annan Q. Shang


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300